The Bullwhip Effect

The bullwhip effect is the way small changes in customer demand grow into bigger and bigger swings in orders as we move up a supply chain. Jay Forrester, a professor at MIT, described this kind of demand amplification in his work on industrial dynamics around 1960. Later, Hau Lee, Padmanabhan and Whang popularised the name and analysed its causes in a well-known paper from 1997. We follow Lina, a supply planner at a biscuit factory.

1. The Whip

Imagine a supply chain with four links: the retailer, the wholesaler, the manufacturer and the raw material supplier. When customer demand rises a little, the retailer orders a little more, the wholesaler orders even more, and the manufacturer more still. By the time the signal reaches the supplier, the swing can be several times bigger than the real change in sales. Each link reacts sensibly to what it sees, but together they create the whip.

Example: A driver on a motorway brakes a little, the car behind brakes harder, and the next one harder still. Far behind, the last driver has to stop completely, although the first driver barely slowed down.

At work: Lina plots the data: shop sales stay near 100 packs a week, but the orders reaching her factory swing between 40 and 160. The factory first makes too much, then too little.

2. The Four Causes

Lee, Padmanabhan and Whang identified four main causes. The first is demand forecast updating: each link treats the latest order as a signal about the future and adds safety stock. The second is order batching, where companies order in big lots at fixed times. The third is price fluctuation, because discounts make customers buy ahead, and the fourth is rationing and shortage gaming, where buyers order more than they need when supply is tight.

Example: When people fear a rice shortage, every family buys extra bags, just in case. This is shortage gaming: the shelves empty even though real needs have not grown.

At work: Lina's biggest wholesaler orders only once a month, in one large lot. This is order batching: the factory sees one big spike every month, although the shops sell steadily every day.

3. The Fixes

Most fixes aim at the causes. Sharing real sales data lets every link plan from actual demand, not from the orders of the next link. Smaller and more frequent orders reduce batching, and stable

This document is a property of Asaseeyat Academy - © Copy Right Protected.

everyday prices reduce buying ahead. Honest information, fair allocation based on past sales and shorter lead times also reduce the whip, but they rarely remove it completely.

Example: A school canteen shares its daily sales with the bakery that supplies its bread. The bakery bakes what the canteen really sells, and little bread is wasted.

At work: Lina asks the biggest shops to share their daily sales data, and she plans from it, not from their orders. The swings in her weekly plan fall from between 40 and 160 packs to between 80 and 120.

4. Spot and Track

We can spot the bullwhip by comparing how much orders vary with how much sales vary. If orders vary more than sales, the effect is present, and the bigger the gap, the stronger it is. Other signs are rush orders, swinging inventory and factories that are overloaded one month and idle the next. Because some variation in demand is natural, the aim is to reduce the whip, not to remove it, so the check should be repeated regularly.

Example: A bakery compares the number of loaves baked each day with the number sold. When the baked numbers jump around much more than the sales, the owner knows that the bakery is reacting too strongly.

At work: Lina divides the variation of the orders by the variation of the shop sales and gets a ratio of about three. A ratio of one would mean that orders follow sales exactly, so three shows a strong bullwhip. She repeats the check every quarter to see whether the fixes work.

Conclusion

In summary, the bullwhip effect is the growth of small changes in demand into large swings in orders along a supply chain. It comes from four causes: forecast updating, order batching, price fluctuations and shortage gaming. Sharing real demand data, ordering more often and keeping prices stable can reduce it, and tracking it regularly helps every link to plan from real demand.

Sources

- Lee, H. L., Padmanabhan, V. and Whang, S. (1997). The Bullwhip Effect in Supply Chains. Sloan Management Review, 38(3), 93-102 – https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/understanding-the-bullwhip-effect-in-supply-chains/
- Forrester, J. W. (1961). Industrial Dynamics. MIT Press (early description of demand amplification in supply chains).
- Bullwhip effect - overview of causes and remedies (Wikipedia) – https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bullwhip_effect

This document is a property of Asaseeyat Academy - © Copy Right Protected.