Psychological Safety

Psychological safety is a shared belief in a team that it is safe to take interpersonal risks, such as asking a question, admitting a mistake or offering a new idea. Amy Edmondson, a professor at Harvard Business School, defined the term for work teams in a study published in 1999. Earlier, in a hospital study, she had noticed that the better teams seemed to report more mistakes, not because they made more, but because people felt safe to talk about them. Later, Google's Project Aristotle studied 180 of its teams and found psychological safety to be the most important of five dynamics of effective teams. Leaders can build it step by step.

1. What It Is and What It Is Not

Psychological safety means that people can speak up without fear of being embarrassed or punished. It is not about being nice all the time, avoiding conflict or lowering standards. Edmondson explains that high standards and high safety together create a learning zone, where people work hard and learn fast. High standards without safety create anxiety, and safety without standards creates comfort. The aim is honest and respectful talk about real work.

Example: A football coach builds psychological safety without lowering standards: training stays hard, but players can say when a move does not work. The team finds its weak spots early and fixes them before the matches.

At work: Yara, a new product manager, notices that her team never questions a deadline. She explains that psychological safety does not mean lower standards: the goals stay high, but every concern must be raised. In the next meeting, a developer warns about a risk that would have delayed the launch.

2. Set the Stage

The first step for leaders is to set the stage. They frame the work as new, uncertain or complex, so that everyone's input is clearly needed. They explain why speaking up matters and what is at stake. When people understand that their view is part of the job, silence feels riskier than speaking.

Example: Before a long hike, a guide sets the stage: the path is new, so everyone must speak at once if they feel unwell. Halfway, one walker mentions dizziness, and the group rests before it becomes serious.

At work: Yara sets the stage at the start of a new project: the product is new for everyone, so every warning is needed early. Within a week, two team members point out problems in the design.

This document is a property of Asaseeyat Academy - © Copy Right Protected.

3. Invite Participation

The second step is to invite participation. Leaders show humility by admitting that they do not have all the answers. They ask real questions, such as what we might be missing, and then they listen carefully. Simple routines help too, for example asking each person for one concern in every meeting. Quiet people often have useful ideas when someone asks them directly.

Example: A parent invites participation at dinner: instead of giving advice, the parent asks each child about one difficult moment of the day. The quietest child finally shares a problem at school.

At work: Yara invites participation by admitting that she is new to the product and asking each person what worries them most about the plan. A quiet tester names a security gap that nobody else had seen.

4. Respond Productively

The third step is to respond productively when people speak up. Leaders thank people for raising problems, even when the news is bad. They treat mistakes as chances to learn and focus on what to do next, not on who is to blame. This does not mean accepting everything, because clear rule breaking is still addressed. The way a leader reacts to the first piece of bad news decides whether people will speak again.

Example: A child admits breaking a glass, and the parent responds productively with thanks for the honesty and help with cleaning up. The next time something breaks, the child speaks up at once.

At work: When a junior analyst admits an error in a report, Yara responds productively: she thanks him, fixes the report with him and adds a check to the process. In the following months, people report small errors early, before they become big ones.

Conclusion

In summary, psychological safety is a shared belief that it is safe to speak up in a team. Amy Edmondson's research and Google's Project Aristotle both show how much it matters for learning and performance. It is not about being soft, and it works best together with high standards. Leaders build it in three steps: set the stage, invite participation and respond productively. When people feel safe to speak, problems surface early and teams learn faster.

Sources

- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350-383 – https://www.jstor.org/stable/2666999
- Edmondson, A. C. (2018). The Fearless Organization. Wiley (the leader's toolkit: set the stage, invite participation, respond productively).
- Google re:Work: Understand team effectiveness (Project Aristotle) – https://rework.withgoogle.com/intl/en/guides/understand-team-effectiveness

This document is a property of Asaseeyat Academy - © Copy Right Protected.